

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO. Box 1459
Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/940,472	08/29/2001	Katsuji Kimura	Q65962	4891
7	590 07/16/2003			
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS			EXAMINER NGUYEN, MINH T	
2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037				
	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		lim			
	Applicati n N .	pplicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/940,472	KIMURA, KATSUJI			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Minh Nguyen	2816			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the c ver sh et with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application at the control of the control	ation. A proper reply to a			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{5}$ months from the mailing date	•				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control of	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF fextension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in f the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);			
(b)  they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);				
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d)  they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE:					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would learn canceling the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment( explanation of how the new or amended slaims wo	(s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	⊠ will be entered <del>and an</del> - w-or appended-			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,				
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappr	roved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·			
10. Other:		102M 7/14/03			
		Minh Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2816			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the rejections of record are still believed to be proper, and therefore, are maintained. The arguments still to show why the claims (having the structure shown in Fig. 1 in the present invention) functioned differently from the prior art circuit (having the structure shown in Fig. 3), i.e., the Examiner still can not find the difference between the claimed structure (Fig.1 of the present invention) and the prior art structure (Fig. 3 of Kimura).